## Inferential Statistics IV-Inferential Statistics With Excel And Epinfo: hints

1. Save the Excel file into your partition on the server on a folder corresponding to the number of practical activity. Use IF predefine function, Microsoft Excel.
2. Use [Edit - Past Special (values and number formats)]. Save the document and close it.
3. Open Analysis module of Epilnfo ([Start - All Programs - Epi Info - Analysis]). Activate Read(Import) option.
a. Define the type of document which you wand to open (Excel file) \& data source (find the DB.xls file on your partition on the server) \& Worksheet (select here the Epilnfo work sheet):

b. Confirm with OK. An message as in the picture bellow will appear:

## Epi Info

## Current View: G:|documentsistudents $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ 2010UEng|DB.xls:EpiInfo§ Record Count: 440 Date: 1/1/2010 5:10:03 PM

c. Verify if the open data are the correct ones. From Statistics command activates with double click the List option. Chose all $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ and validate with OK. It is correct if you have the HT and Diabetes status for all patients (as yes/no variables).
4. Double click on Frequencies option (Statistics analysis command) and select the dichotomial variables:

validate the selections with OK. You can obtain the frequencies tables for all variables using the Frequencies command just one time.
5. Create a stratified frequency table [Frequencies - Frequency of SMOKING - Stratified by SEX]:


To answer the question you will look at percent of smoking = yes for SEX=F and SEX=M and to associated 95\% Conf Limits:

## SMOKING, SEX=F

Forward

| SMOKING | Frequency | Percent | Cum Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{\| r \| r \| r \|}$ | 31 | $14.8 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 179 | $85.2 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total | 210 | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

## 95\% Conf <br> Limits

| $110.3 \% 20.3 \%$ |
| :--- |
| $279.7 \% 89.7 \%$ |

## SMOKING, SEX=M

Back Forward Current Procedure SMOKING Frequency Percent Cum Percent

| $\mathbf{1}$ | 72 | $31.3 \%$ | $31.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 158 | $68.7 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total | 230 | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

## 95\% Conf Limits

$125.4 \% 37.7 \%$
$262.3 \% 74.6 \%$

Since the $95 \%$ confidence limits of percentage are not overlap on each other we can state that the percent of smoking male is significantly higher than the percent of smoking female.
6. Similar with hints for request 5 .
7. Similar with hints for request 5 .
8. Use Table function to answer to this question. Exposure variables is HT and outcome variable is DIABETES


The following results will be obtained:

| Single Table Analysis |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Point <br> Estimate | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| PARAMETERS: Odds-based |  |  |  |
| Odds Ratio (cross product) | 0.6740 | 0.4455 | 1.0199 |
| Odds Ratio (MLE) | 0.6746 | 0.4449 | 1.0215 (M) |
|  |  | 0.4360 | 1.0421 (F) |
| PARAMETERS: Risk-based |  |  |  |
| Risk Ratio (RR) | 0.7562 | 0.5641 | 1.0137 |
| Risk Difference (RD\%) | -8.1267 | -16.6733 | 0.4198 (T) |
| (I=Taylor series; C=Cornfield; M=Mid-P; F=Fisher Exact) |  |  |  |
| STATISTICAL TESTS | Chi-square | tailed $p$ | 2-tailed p |
| Chi-square - uncorrected | 3.5028 |  | 0.0612660794 |
| Chi-square - Mantel-Haenszel | 3.4948 |  | 0.0615613038 |
| Chi-square - corrected (Yates) | 3.1182 |  | 0.0774238886 |
| Mid-p exact |  | 0314975454 |  |
| Fisher exact |  | 0388636513 |  |

Since the question is about risk factors, we will look and interpret Risk Ration or Odds Ratio (depending on research methodology - Second semester) as parameters and associated confidence interval.
Since the values (both in this case OR = Odds Ratio and RR = Risk Ratio) are not higher than 1 the HT could not be considered a risk factor for Diabetes.
9. A. Similar with hints gave for request 8. B. Do not forget to stratify the results on SEX.
10. Similar with hints gave for request 8 but this time we will interpret the Chi-Square test.
a. The observed contingency table is:

DIABETES

| DIABETES |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| OBESITY | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | TOTAL |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 41 | 63 | 104 |
| Row $\%$ | 39.4 | 60.6 | 100.0 |
| Col $\%$ | 32.3 | 20.1 | 23.6 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 86 | 250 | 336 |
| Row $\%$ | 25.6 | 74.4 | 100.0 |
| Col $\%$ | 67.7 | 79.9 | 76.4 |
| TOTAL | 127 | 313 | 440 |
| Row \% 28.9 | 71.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Col $\%$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

b. Since all observed values are higher than 5 you will look on Chi-Square uncorrected or Mantel-Haenszel:

| STATISTICAL TESTS | Chi-square 1-tailed p | 2-tailed p |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Chi-square - uncorrected | 7.3958 | 0.0065387529 |
| Chi-square - Mantel-Haenszel | 7.3790 | 0.0066001352 |
| Chi-square - corrected (Yates) | 6.7377 | 0.0094408712 |
| Mid-p exact | 0.0039658922 |  |
| Fisher exact | 0.0053218909 |  |

Since The values of statistical tests are higher than critical values for 1 degree of freedom and the $p$ values are less than $5 \%$ it can be concluded that obesity and diabetes are dependent.
11. Double click on Means option (Statistics analysis command) and made selection as in the picture bellow:

a. Descriptive statistics:

> Descriptive Statistics for Each Value of Crosstab Variable
> Obs Total Mean Variance Std Dev
> F 21022395.0000106 .64291418 .383837 .6614
> M 23028056.0000121 .98261943 .589244 .0862
> Minimum 25\% Median 75\% Maximum Mode
> F $62.000083 .0000 \quad 93.0000107 .0000210 .000083 .0000$
> M 51.000085 .0000101 .0000174 .0000199 .000082 .0000

It could be observed that the mean of Glycaemia is higher in Male compared with Female since the modes are almost equal. The same observation is also correct for variance.
b. Inferential statistics: the results of comparisons are presented as in the picture bellow:

## ANOVA, a Parametric Test for Inequality of Population Means

(For normally distributed data only)

| Variation | SS | df | MS | F statistic |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Between | 25830.3985 | 1 | 25830.3985 | 15.2574 |
| Within | 741524.1447 | 438 | 1692.9775 |  |
| Total | 767354.5432 | 439 |  |  |

T Statistic $=3.9061$

$$
P \text {-value }=0.0001
$$

## Bartlett's Test for Inequality of Population Variances

Bartlett's chi square $=5.3617 \mathrm{df}=1 \mathrm{P}$ value $=0.0206$
A small p-value (e.g., less than 0.05 suggests that the variances are not homogeneous and that the ANOVA may not be appropriate.
Mann-Whitney/Wilcoxon Two-Sample Test (Kruskal-Wallis test for two groups)

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\text { Kruskal-Wallis H (equivalent to Chi square }) & =13.0846 \\
\text { Degrees of freedom } & =1 \\
\text { P value } & =0.0003
\end{array}
$$

As is stated in the picture, the ANOVA test is appropriate if the data are normally distributed and homogenous. Since the data are not homogenous (Bartlett chi-square $=5.3617, p=0.0206$ ) the Kruskals-Wallis test for two groups is the appropriate test. The result of this test revealed that the mean of glycaemia in male is significantly higher compared with the mean of glycaemia in female.
12. Your presentation must have the following structure:
a. Title: "Analysis of Risks Factors for Diabetes" \& Author (your full name) \& Author affiliation (University Faculty) (First page / slide)
b. Content (Second page / slide). If use Microsoft Word use Styles and create the Content automatically. If use Microsoft PowerPoint include here the title of each slides in the presentation.
c. On a new page / slide provide the answer for request from 5 to 12 . Include the following information: results and interpretation.
d. Save the file in a folder dedicated to the present practical activity with name Obesity Risk Factors Analysis.

