## Correlation \& Regression

## OUtLINES

## Correlation

- Definition
- Deviation Score Formula, Z score formula
- Hypothesis Test

Regression

- Intercept and Slope
- Un-standardized Regression Line
- Standardized Regression Line
- Hypothesis Tests


## 1. Direction

- Positive (+)
- Negative (-)

2. Degree of association

- Between - 1 and 1
- Absolute values signify strength

3. Form

- Linear
- Non-linear


## Correlation: 1. Direction

## Positive



Large values of $\mathrm{X}=$ large values of Y , Small values of $\mathrm{X}=$ small values of Y .


Large values of $\mathrm{X}=$ small values of Y
Small values of $\mathrm{X}=$ large values of Y
-e.g. SPEED and ACCURACY

## Correlation: 2. Degree of association

Strong
(tight cloud)


Weak (diffuse cloud)


## Correlation: 3. Form



## Correlation: Definition

Correlation: a statistical technique that measures and describes the degree of linear relationship between two variables

Dataset


Scatterplot


## The logic of regression

## MEAN of $X$

|  | MEAN of X |
| :--- | :--- |
| Below average on X | Above average on X |
| Above average on Y | Abole average on Y |
| Below average on C | Above average on X |
| Below average on Y | Below average on Y |

MEAN of $Y$

$$
\text { Cross-Product }=\quad(X-\bar{X})(Y-\bar{Y}) \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \text { For a strong positive association, } \\
& \text { the cross-products will mostly be } \\
& \text { positive }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

## The logic of regression

## MEAN of $X$



## The logic of regression

## MEAN of $X$

|  | Below average on X <br> Aboveaverage on $Y$ | Above average on $X$ <br> Above average on $Y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MEAN ofY |  |  |
|  | Below average on $X$ <br> Below average on $Y$ | - Above average on $X$ <br> Below àverage on $Y$ |
| Cross-Produ | $(X-\bar{X})(Y-\bar{Y})$ | or a weak association, the coss-products will be mixed |

## Pearson Correlation Coefficient

Symbol: r, R
A value ranging from -1.00 to 1.00 indicating the strength (look to the number of correlation coefficient) and direction (look to the sign of the correlation coefficient) of the linear relationship.

- Absolute value indicates strength
- +/- indicates direction

$$
r=\frac{\sum(X-\bar{X})(Y-\bar{Y})}{\sqrt{\sum(X-\bar{X})^{2} \sum(Y-\bar{Y})^{2}}}
$$

## Pearson Correlation Coefficient

- Assumptions:

1. The errors in data values are independent from one another
2. Correlation always requires the assumption of a straight-line relationship
3. The variables are assumed to follow a bivariate normal distribution

## Pearson Correlation Coefficient

|  | Femur | Humerus | $(X-\bar{X})$ | $(Y-\bar{Y})$ | $(X-\bar{X})^{2}$ | $(Y-\bar{Y})^{2}$ | $(X-\bar{X})(Y-\bar{Y})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A | 38 | $\mathbf{4 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| B | 56 | 63 |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | 59 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |
| D | 64 | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |
| E | 74 | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 58.2 | 66.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{SS}_{X}$ | $\mathrm{SS}_{Y}$ | SP |

$r=\frac{\mathrm{SP}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{Y}}}}$

## Pearson Correlation Coefficient

|  | Femur | Humerus | $(X-\bar{X})$ | $(Y-\bar{Y})$ | $(X-\bar{X})^{2}$ | $(Y-\bar{Y})^{2}$ | $(X-\bar{X})(Y-\bar{Y})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | -20.2 | -25 | 408.04 | 625 | 505 |
| B | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | -2.2 | -3 | 4.84 | 9 | 6.6 |
| C | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | 0.8 | $\mathbf{4}$ | .64 | 16 | 3.2 |
| D | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | 5.8 | 6 | 33.64 | 36 | 34.8 |
| E | $\mathbf{7 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 4}$ | 15.8 | 18 | 249.64 | 324 | 284.4 |
| mean | 58.2 | 66.00 |  |  | $\mathbf{6 9 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{X}}$ | $\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ | SP |

$$
\mathbf{r}=0.99
$$

## Pearson Correlation Coefficient

- For a strong positive association, the SP (sum of products) will be a big positive number



## Pearson Correlation Coefficient

- For a strong negative association, the SP will be a big negative number

Below average on $X$ Above average on $X$
$\bullet$
Above avorage on $Y \quad$ Above average on $Y$

Below average on $X$

Below average on $Y$


## Pearson Correlation Coefficient

- For a weak association, the SP will be a small number (+ and - will cancel each other out)

Below average on $X$

Aboveaverage on $Y$


Below average on $Y$

Above average on $X$

Above average on $Y$
-
Above average on $X$

Below âverage on Y

## Pearson Correlation Coefficient: Interpretation

- A measure of strength of association: how closely do the points cluster around a line?
- A measure of the direction of association: is it positive or negative?
- Colton [Colton T. Statistics in Medicine. Little Brown and Company, New York, NY 1974] rules:
- $\mathrm{R} \subset[-0.25$ to +0.25$] \rightarrow$ No relation
- $\mathrm{R} \subset$ ( 0.25 to +0.50$] \cup(-0.25$ to -0.50$] \rightarrow$ weak relation
- $\mathrm{R} \subset$ ( 0.50 to +0.75$] \cup$ (-0.50 to -0.75] $\rightarrow$ moderate relation
- $\mathrm{R} \subset(0.75$ to +1$) \cup(-0.75$ to -1$) \rightarrow$ strong relation


## Pearson Correlation Coefficient: Interpretation

- The P-value is the probability that you would have found the current result if the correlation coefficient were in fact zero (null hypothesis).
- If this probability is lower than the conventional significance level (e.g. $5 \%$ ) ( $p<0.05$ ) $\rightarrow$ the correlation coefficient is called statistically significant.

Correlations

|  |  | ISET | LogPexp |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| ISET | Pearson Correlation | 1 | $.653^{* *}$ |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) |  | $2.178 \mathrm{E}-016 \circ$ |
|  | N | 124 | $124 \cdot$ |
| LogPexp | Pearson Correlation | $.653^{* *}$ | 1 |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 |  |
|  | N | 124 | 124 |

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level ( 2 -tailed).

## Spearman Rank Correlation Coefficient

- Not continuous measurements
- The assumption of bivariate normal distribution is violated
- Symbol: $\rho$ (Rho Greek Letter)

$$
\rho=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\overline{\mathrm{x}}\right) \times\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}-\overline{\mathrm{y}}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\overline{\mathrm{x}}\right)^{2} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}-\overline{\mathrm{y}}\right)^{2}}}
$$

## Spearman Rank Correlation Coefficient

- The sign of the Spearman
correlation indicates the direction of association between $X$ (the independent variable) and $Y$ (the dependent variable).
- $\rho=1 \rightarrow$ the two variables being compared are monotonically related. N.B. This does not give a perfect Pearson correlation.



## Interpretation of r-squared ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ )

- The amount of covariation compared to the amount of total variation
- The percent of total variance that is shared variance
-E.g. If $r=0.80$, then $X$ explains $64 \%$ of the variability in $Y$ (and vice versa)


## Properties of correlation coefficient

- A standardized statistic - will not change if you change the units of X or Y .
-The same whether $X$ is correlated with $Y$ or vice versa
- Fairly unstable with small n
- Vulnerable to outliers
- Has a skewed distribution


## Correlation coefficient by example

- Enciu A, Zamfir CZ, Nicolescu A, Ida A. THE ANALYSIS OF CORRELATIONS BETWEEN THE MAINTRAITS OF WOOL PRODUCTION ON MILK BREED - PALAS. Lucrări Ştiințifice - Seria Zootehnie ????;57:5054.

Table 1 Correlation and regression coefficients between wool production and fiber diameter related to the age of the sheep (shearing season)

| Sheep category | Breed | Shearing <br> season <br> (age) | $\mathrm{r} \pm \mathrm{sr}$ | $\mathrm{b} \pm \mathrm{sb}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female yearlings | Milk Breed <br> Palas | 1 | $0.187 \pm 0.055\left(^{* * *)}\right.$ | $0.117 \pm 0.022\left({ }^{* * *)}\right.$ |
| Male yearlings | Milk Breed <br> Palas | 1 | $0.204 \pm 0.109(\mathrm{~ns})$ | $0.185 \pm 0.098\left({ }^{*}\right)$ |
| Ewes | Milk Breed <br> Palas | $2-10$ | $-0.043 \pm 0.218(\mathrm{~ns})$ <br> $0.361 \pm 0.071\left({ }^{* * *)}\right.$ | $-0.035 \pm 0.099(\mathrm{~ns})$ <br> $0.125 \pm 0.025\left({ }^{* * *)}\right.$ |
| Rams | Milk Breed <br> Palas | $2-4$ | $0.081 \pm 0.442(\mathrm{~ns})$ <br> $0.257 \pm 0.176(\mathrm{~ns})$ | $0.065 \pm 0.028(\mathrm{~ns})$ <br> $0.196 \pm 0.113(\mathrm{~ns})$ |

Notes: ns - not significant $(P>0,05) \quad$ * - significant $(P<0,05) \quad$ ** - distinctly significant $(P<0,01)$
*** - very significant ( $\mathrm{P}<0,001$ )

## Correlation matrix

## Table 5

Correlation matrix for the broad set of explanatory variables considered in the analysis of risk factors associated with the SCM among lactating cow in the Savannah region of Nigeria

| Variable | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B | 0.9637 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | 0.0273 | 0.0148 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D | 0.143 | 0.0945 | 0.0702 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E | 0.0397 | 0.0434 | 0.5789 | 0.0182 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | -0.1778 | -0.1315 | -0.3027 | -0.2847 | -0.1752 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | -0.0949 | -0.0563 | -0.0903 | -0.208 | -0.1284 | 0.3426 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | 0.018 | 0.0298 | 0.5884 | 0.022 | -0.027 | -0.1781 | 0.0397 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| I | -0.018 | -0.0298 | -0.5884 | -0.022 | 0.027 | 0.1781 | -0.0397 | -1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| J | -0.019 | -0.0751 | 0.4626 | 0.1916 | 0.2678 | -0.6544 | -0.217 | 0.2722 | -0.2722 | 1 |  |  |
| K | -0.1964 | -0.1503 | -0.3469 | -0.3396 | -0.2008 | 0.8725 | 0.373 | -0.2041 | 0.2041 | $-0.75$ | 1 |  |
| L | -0.0015 | -0.0016 | 0.0004 | -0.0012 | 0.0073 | 0.0016 | 0.0012 | 0.0002 | -0.0002 | 0.0009 | 0.0018 | 1 |

$\mathrm{A}=$ age, $\mathrm{B}=$ parity, $\mathrm{C}=$ washing hands before milking, $\mathrm{D}=$ breed, $\mathrm{E}=$ heifer and cow, $\mathrm{F}=$ month, $\mathrm{G}=$ cow type, $\mathrm{H}=$ pre-striping before milking, $I=$ feeding after milking, $J=$ washing of teats, $K=$ management system, $L=$ quarter.

# Simple Linear regression Multiple linear regression 

## Linear Regression: Assumptions

- The errors in data values (e.g. the deviation from average) are independent from one another
- Regressions depends on the appropriateness of the model used in the fit
- The independent readings ( $X$ ) are measured as exactly known values (measured without error)
- The variance of $Y$ is the same for all values of $X$
- The distribution of $Y$ is approximately normal for all values of $X$


## Linear Regression

-But how do we describe the line?

- If two variables are linearly related it is possible to develop a simple equation to predict one variable from the other
- The outcome variable is designated the $Y$ variable, and the predictor variable is designated the X variable
-E.g. centigrade to Fahrenheit:

$$
\mathrm{F}=32+1.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

this formula gives a specific straight line

## Linear Equation

- General form is $Y=a+b X$
- The prediction equation: $\tilde{Y}=a+b X$
- $\mathrm{a}=$ intercept, $\mathrm{b}=$ slope, $\mathrm{X}=$ the predictor, $\mathrm{Y}=$ the criterion
- $a$ and $b$ are constants in a given line; $X$ and $Y$ change



## Slope and Intercept

- Equation of the line: $\tilde{Y}=a+b X$
- The slope $b$ : the amount of change in $Y$ with one unit change in $X$

$$
b=r \frac{s_{y}}{s_{x}}=\frac{S P}{S S_{X}}
$$

- The intercept a: the value of $Y$ when $X$ is zero

$$
a=\bar{Y}-b \bar{X}
$$

- The slope is influenced by $r$, but is not the same as $r$


## Table 2

The effect of herd paratuberculosis sero-status (positive, negative or non-negative) on milk, fat and protein yield, somatic cell count score (SCCS) and calving interval [mean (95\% CI)]

| Variables | Positive | Non-negative | Negative | F- <br> value | Adjusted <br> $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | P-value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Milk (kg) |  <br> 6981.44 (6594- <br> $7369)$ | $6928.00(6594-$ <br> $7369)$ | $6601.85(6408-$ <br> $6795)$ | 1.995 | 0.447 | 0.138 |
| Fat (kg) | $242.20(231-253)$ | $238.50(226-251)$ | $238.03(232-244)$ | 0.213 | 0.7 | 0.809 |
| Protein (kg) | $222.09(216-228)$ | $220.79(214-228)$ | $215.75(213-219)$ | 2.031 | 0.871 | 0.133 |
| SCCS (score) | $3.26(2.7-3.8)$ | $3.38(2.7-4.0)$ | $2.76(2.5-3.0)$ | 2.469 | 0.021 | 0.087 |
| Calving interval | $386.42(368-405)$ | $391.63(364-419)$ | $381.67(372-391)$ | 0.696 | 0.063 | 0.5 |
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Fig. 2


Scatterplot graph of Pearson's correlation between spot 1 (FMV) and 24-h UAE. a spot 1 ACR (mg/g) versus 24-h UAE ( $\mathrm{mg} / 24 \mathrm{~h}$ ), b spot $1 \mathrm{UAC}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ versus $24-\mathrm{h} \mathrm{UAE} \mathrm{(mg/24} \mathrm{h)}$

## Summary!

- Assessment of the strenght of association between 2 quantitative variables (normal distributed) $\rightarrow$ correlation coefficient
- Prediction of one variable (Y) using another variable (X) $\rightarrow$ regression

